

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****APR 03 2007****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of: Bharadwaj

S.No. 09/863,425	ART UNIT: 2141
FILED: MAY 22, 2001	EXAMINER: KENNETH COULTER
TITLE: Remote displays in mobile communication networks	DOCKET NO: BHARADWAJ-001


**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED MARCH 22, 2007**

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir,

Applicant received an office action dated March 22, 2007 in which the Examiner stated that claims 17-24 were not present in the filing dated November 16, 2004. This appears an error. These claims have been present in the application from the inception, and in the response dated November 16, 2004, which was filed via fax, one page was not received by the PTO fax machine, which is believed to be the cause of the Examiner's confusion. The PTO electronic file shows that page 5 of the filing was not properly received. The Examiner is respectfully requested to examine the file wrapper and withdraw the objection. No fee is believed to be due with this submission.

Respectfully Submitted,


  
S/Naren Chaganti (44,602)  
Naren Chaganti  
432 S. Curson Ave, Ste. 12 H  
Los Angeles, CA 90036  
(650) 248-7011 phone  
(323) 571-9428 fax  
naren@chaganti.com

Attorney for Applicant

Certificate of faxing

I certify that on the date shown below, I faxed this paper to (571) 273-8300.

Date: April 3, 2007

  
S/Naren Chaganti (44,602)  
Naren Chaganti